



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/665,955

09/17/2003

Thomas A. Todd

P03927

8347

28548

7590

08/14/2008

Stoneman Volk Patent Group
3770 NORTH 7TH STREET, Suite 100
PHOENIX, AZ 85014

EXAMINER

TOOMER, CEPHIA D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/14/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10665955	9/17/2003	TODD ET AL.	P03927

Stoneman Volk Patent Group
3770 NORTH 7TH STREET, Suite 100
PHOENIX, AZ 85014

EXAMINER

Cephia D.. Toomer

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1797	20080812
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

For clarification with respect to the claims that are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, please see below:

Claims 1, 7, 19, 55 and their dependents (claims 2-6, 8-18, 20-54, and 56-60) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are rejected because the terms "comprehensive fuel additive" is not understood. In the original claims, appellant recited a user-friendly effective additive. Appellant deleted those terms and replaced them with "comprehensive fuel additive." The examiner has reviewed the specification and finds that any recitation regarding a comprehensive fuel additive refers to the combination of all of the claimed components and not to an individual component.

/Cephia D. Toomer/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1797